

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•	•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,674	12/31/2003	Douglas R. WARE	5233.009.NPUS01	1673
	7590 05/09/2007 CE & QUIGG, LLP		EXAMINER	
1300 EYE STREET NW			LILLING, HERBERT J	
	UITE 1000 WEST TOWER VASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	1657	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/707,674	WARE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		HERBERT J. LILLING	1657				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not so fit time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 March 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) ☐ 6) ☒ 7) ☒ 8) ☒ Applicati 9) ☐ 10) ☐	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 and 16-29 is/are of Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) 6-8 and 16-29 are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oath	withdrawn from consideration. n and/or election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>March 27, 2007</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,674

Art Unit: 1657

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment and deposit information filed March 26, 2007.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-29 remain pending in this application.

Claims 30-41 have been cancelled.

- 3. The rejection of pending claims 1-29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement comprising Lactobacillus acidophilus microorganism selected from the group consisting of M35, LA45, LA51, L411, NPC 747, NPC 750, D3, and L7 has been withdrawn in view of the submitted availability of the microorganism.
- 4. Claims 6-8 and 16-29 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on August 28, 2006.
- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,674

Art Unit: 1657

Page 3

Claims 1-5 and 9-14 drawn to the elected species are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Abstract of Winkowski et al "Appl. Environ Microbiol 1993 August 59(8) 2552-2557 [for claims 1, 2, 5,11-13] or Pidcock et al "Int. Jour. Food Microbol 76 (2002) 75-81 [claims 1-5 and 9-14].

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abstract of Winkowski et al "Appl. Environ Microbiol 1993 August 59(8) 2552-2557 [for claims 1, 2, 5,11-13] or Pidcock et al "Int. Jour. Food Microbiol. 76 (2002) 75-81 [claims 1-5 and 9-14] if there are any difference with respect to the lactic acid microorganism, application of the microorganism, the selection of multiple microorganisms and the pathogen which are considered to be prima facie obvious based on the disclosure of the prior art.

7. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims which would include all pending claims.

8. No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,674

Art Unit: 1657

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918 and Fax Number is 571-273-8300. or SPE Jon Weber whose telephone number is 571-272-0925. Examiner can be reached Monday-Friday from about 7:30 A.M. to about 7:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1657</u> May 04, 2007

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1657